

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/764,445

Applicant(s)

MERRILL ET AL.

Examiner

Duc Truong

Art Unit

1711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Duc Truong.

(3) John Isaacson

(2) Christine Tierney

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: Aug 11, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: out of record

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant will consider about the packaging step in claim 124 and will, ^{consider} file a declaration to support for applicant's arguments on claim 124 and possible case law to support this.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Duc Truong
Examiner's signature, if required